


# “A Quick Hello”: Exploring Informal Self-Introductions of Autistic and Non-Autistic Adolescents over Zoom

Sarah Schillinger<sup>1</sup>, Hannah O'Connor<sup>1</sup>, Elana Groves<sup>2</sup>, Hannah Franke<sup>3</sup>, Nutsa Abashidze<sup>3</sup>, Cynthia Petersons<sup>1</sup>, Stella Shen<sup>1</sup>, Kathryn Prescott<sup>1</sup>, Christopher Cox<sup>4</sup>, Ethan Weed<sup>4</sup>, Riccardo Fusaroli<sup>4</sup>, Ruth Grossman<sup>2</sup>, Julia Parish-Morris<sup>3,5</sup> & Inge-Marie Eigsti<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Psychological Sciences, University of Connecticut, <sup>2</sup>Department of Communication Sciences and Disorders, Emerson College, <sup>3</sup>Center for Autism Research, Children’s Hospital of Philadelphia, <sup>4</sup>School of Communication and Culture - Linguistics, Aarhus University, <sup>5</sup>Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania



## Introduction

- Peer relationships are particularly challenging for autistic adolescents (Cresswell et al., 2019)
- Double-empathy theory: social difficulties are due to a mismatch between autistic and non-autistic social communication (Milton, 2012)
- Brief first impressions of autistic individuals inform:
  - Judgments of personal attributes (Grossman, 2015)
  - Intent to pursue further interactions (Sasson et al., 2017)
- Critical to understand self-introductions (which contribute to first impressions) in autistic teens

## Objectives

Investigate informal self-introductions by autistic and non-autistic adolescents in neurotype-concordant and -discordant dyads, specifically:

1. Number of topics covered
2. Duration of introduction
3. Percentage of matched topics between interlocutors

## Participants


- 147 teens (12 - 15 years,  $M = 14.29$  years)
- 35 AUT-AUT, 67 AUT-NonAUT, 35 NonAUT-NonAUT dyads with
    - 31 AUT and 31 Non-AUT females
    - 43 AUT and 42 Non-AUT males

## Method

- Teens were in sex-matched neurotype-concordant and -discordant dyads
- At the start of online dyadic visits, participants were told to “introduce yourselves; *name1* and *name2* please say a quick ‘hello’”
- Introductions coded for **number of topics**, **introduction duration**, and **topic matching** [proportion of categories (e.g., name, age, school) mentioned by both speakers]

## Results


In informal introductions, non-autistic dyads covered more topics than autistic dyads, but all dyads tended speak for a similar length of time and matched each other’s topics closely, regardless of neurotype.




**Number of topics:** Significant effect of neurotype,  $p = 0.03$ . Dyads with two non-autistic teens covered more topics than dyads with two autistic teens ( $\beta = 0.94$ ,  $p = 0.009$ ).

## Discussion


- Results reveal both *similarities* and *differences* in self-introductions between autistic and non-autistic dyads.
- Next steps: 1) investigate influence of self-introductions on overall conversation ratings and 2) increase the sample size to test impact of sex on introduction duration.



**Number of topics:** Linear regression with neurotype and sex showed that NonAUT-NonAUT dyads covered more topics than AUT-AUT dyads ( $\beta = 0.95$ ,  $p = 0.009$ ); no effect of sex,  $p > 0.5$ .



**Introduction duration:** No effects of neurotype or sex,  $ps > 0.1$ . Females ( $M = 4.78$ ) tended to speak for longer than males ( $M = 3.92$ ); but not significant,  $\beta = 0.86$ ,  $p = 0.11$ .



**Topic matching:** No effects of neurotype or sex,  $ps > 0.16$ .

## References & Acknowledgements

### References:

- Cresswell, L., Hinch, R., & Cage, E. (2019). The experiences of peer relationships amongst autistic adolescents: A systematic review of the qualitative evidence. *Research in Autism Spectrum Disorders*, 61, 45–60.
- Grossman, R. B. (2015). Judgments of Social Awkwardness from Brief Exposure to Children with and without High-Functioning Autism. *Autism: The International Journal of Research and Practice*, 19(5), 580–587.
- Milton, D. E. M. (2012). On the ontological status of autism: The ‘double empathy problem.’ *Disability & Society*, 27(6), 883–887.
- Sasson, N. J., Faso, D. J., Nugent, J., Lovell, S., Kennedy, D. P., & Grossman, R. B. (2017). Neurotypical Peers are Less Willing to Interact with Those with Autism based on Thin Slice Judgments. *Scientific Reports*, 7(1), 40700.

### Acknowledgements and Funding:

This work was supported by NIH R01DC021564 (PI: Eigsti) and NIH T32DC017703 (PIs: Eigsti, Myers). We thank participants and their families.



Scan for poster!